

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,482	04/02/2004	Hiroshi Kobayashi	B-5409 621803-3	6130	
LADAS & PAR	7590 06/22/200 RRY	EXAMINER			
Suite #2100		CHOWDHURY, AFROZA Y			
5670 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/817,	482	KOBAYASHI, HIROSHI		
		Examin	er er	Art Unit		
		AFROZA	Y. CHOWDHURY	2629		
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this community	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ddress	
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) I - If NO period I - Failure to rep Any reply rec	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F ER IS LONGER, FROM THE N time may be available under the provision MONTHS from the mailing date of this com for reply is specified above, the maximum s by within the set or extended period for repleived by the Office later than three months t term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATIC event, however, may a reply be t will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror pplication to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This a 3)⊡ Since	onsive to communication(s) fil action is FINAL . this application is in conditior d in accordance with the pract	2b)☐ This action is n for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, pr		e merits is	
Disposition of	Claims					
4a) O 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☑ Claim 7) ☐ Claim 8) ☐ Claim	-	are withdrawn from o				
10)☐ The d Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the rawing(s) filed on is/are ant may not request that any objectement drawing sheet(s) including ath or declaration is objected to	e: a) accepted or lection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se fired if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 C	` '	
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notice of Dra	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) /Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Oate		

Application/Control Number: 10/817,482 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on **March 20, 2009** has been entered. Claims 1-10 are currently pending. Applicant's arguments are addressed herein below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hirano** (US Patent 6,570,628) in view of **Schaper** (US Patent 7,373,605).

As to claims 1, 9, and 10, Hirano discloses an apparatus comprising:

a display screen (fig. 1(6a)), configured for movement between a first position and a second position wherein the display screen at least partially covers a control switch (fig. 2(10), col. 3, lines 59-67) and/or a display unit (fig. 3(3)) when the display is in the second position (fig. 4, col. 4, lines 5-15); and

a control device configured to offer functionality of the control switch and/or display information are displayed from the display unit (fig. 3(3)) on the display screen

(fig. 1(6a)) when the display unit is not in use (col. 3, line 59 – col. 4, line 15, col. 7, lines 29-41).

Hirano does not explicitly teach displaying information from the display unit on the display screen based on the display screen being in the second position.

Schaper teaches a control device configured to offer display information from a display unit on another display screen (figs. 2, 4, col. 2, line 49- col. 3, line 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the idea of Schaper of displaying information from a display unit on another display screen into the display apparatus of Hirano to make an apparatus with a control device that is configured to offer functionality of the control switch and/or display information from the display unit on the display screen based on the display screen being in the second position for user's convenient.

As to claim 6, Hirano teaches an apparatus comprising: a movement-control device that controls the movement of said display screen (col. 3, lines 52-58).

As to claim 7, Hirano teaches an apparatus where the said display screen is associated with a panel member that is a storage-type panel member (fig. 3(3)).

As to claim 8, Hirano teaches an apparatus wherein said display screen is associated with a panel member is a rotation-type panel member (figs. 2–4).

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hirano** (US Patent 6,570,628) in view of **Schaper** (US Patent 7,373,605) and in further view of **Morimoto et al.** (US Pat. 5,757,359).

As to claim 2, Hirano (as modified by Schaper) discloses a display device that has a display screen for accommodating a display panel to serve information for navigation process and various items of information in a vehicle (col. 4, lines 1-15, in Hirano) and a display screen that gives image with an appearance identical to or that resembles the appearance of information from second display screen (figs. 2, 4, col. 2, line 49—col. 3, line 2, in Schaper).

Hirano (as modified by Schaper) does not teach a display screen that gives image of the control switch.

Morimoto et al. teaches an information display system where input keys appear on the screen and the user can control various functions by touching the key switches (fig. 2(a)-(d), fig. 33, col. 5, lines 32-38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the touch panel of the information display system of Morimoto et al. with the display device of Hirano (as modified by Schaper) to make an apparatus for displaying an audio-visual apparatus for a vehicle in order to allow a user to operate entertainment or navigation system by touch control panel.

Art Unit: 2629

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hirano** (US Patent 6,570,628) in view of **Schaper** (US Patent 7,373,605) and in further view of **Son et al.** (US Pub. 2004/0164974).

As to claim 3, Hirano (as modified by Schaper) discloses a display device that has a first display screen (fig. 1(6a), col. 3, lines 59-67, in Hirano) and a second display screen (fig. 3(3a), in Hirano) displays an operation of the information display apparatus (col. 4, lines 5-15, in Hirano).

Hirano (as modified by Schaper) does not specifically teach a control device that is used to drive the display screen.

However, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to recognize that the display apparatus of Hirano has to have a control device that controls the display screen.

Hirano (as modified by Schaper) also does not explicitly teach an apparatus where a control device displays an image on a display screen that changes the surface dimensions of the control switch and information from second display screen.

Son et al. teaches a display device where the dimension of the displayed image can be controlled (pages 4-5, [0076]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the display device of Son et al. with the display device of Hirano (as modified by Schaper) to make an apparatus in order to attain different

surface dimensions of images on a display screen to be different depending on the function of the device, such as navigation or audio-visual apparatus for a vehicle.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hirano** (US Patent 6,570,628) in view of **Schaper** (US Patent 7,373,605) and in further view of **Watanabe et al.** (US Pat. 6,373,213).

As to claim 4, Hirano (as modified by Schaper) discloses a display device that has a display screen for accommodating information of a first display screen from a second display screen (figs. 2, 4, col. 2, line 49—col. 3, line 2, in Schaper).

Hirano (as modified by Schaper) does not teach a position-detection device that detects the position of a panel member.

Watanabe et al. teaches a position-detection device (encoder, col. 8, lines 41-47) that detects the position of a panel member (fig.1A (D)); and wherein

a control device (microcomputer, col. 7, line 66 – col. 8, line 1) controls such that the functions of a display member (fig. 1A(G), col. 8, lines 4-13) wherein is displayed on the display screen (fig. 1A(G), 1B, and 7A) according to a detected position (fig. 1A).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the display apparatus of Watanabe et al. with the display device of Hirano (as modified by Schaper) to make an apparatus with a control device that is configured to offer functionality of the control switch and/or display

Art Unit: 2629

information from the second display screen on the first display screen based on the position detected by the position-detection device.

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hirano** (US Patent 6,570,628) in view of **Schaper** (US Patent 7,373,605) and in further view of **Ogawa et al.** (US Patent 6,628,245).

As to claim 5, Hirano (as modified by Schaper) discloses a display device that has a display screen for accommodating a display panel to serve information for navigation process and various items of information in a vehicle (col. 4, lines 1-15, in Hirano) and a display screen that gives image with an appearance identical to or that resembles the appearance of information from second display screen (figs. 2, 4, col. 2, line 49—col. 3, line 2, in Schaper)..

Hirano (as modified by Schaper) does not teach a switch-display-instruction-receiving device.

Ogawa et al. discloses a switch device that displays a function of a switch presently selected by a user on a switch operating section (col. 1, lines 46-53, fig. 10, 12-14, 16-19) (as best understood).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the switch device of Ogawa et al. with the display device of Hirano (as modified by Schaper) to make an apparatus is configured to offer functionality of the control switch and/or display information from the second display

screen on the first display screen based on the instruction received by the switchdisplay-instruction-receiving device.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed **March 20, 2009** have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 11 of Remarks, lines 23-25, Applicant states, "Hirano and Schaper fails to teach or suggests the embodiment of claim 1, and similarly the embodiment of claims 9 and 10". The Examiner respectfully disagrees to this assertion.

Hirano teaches a display screen (fig. 1(6a)), configured for movement between a first position and a second position wherein the display screen at least partially covers a control switch (fig. 2(10), col. 3, lines 59-67) and/or a display unit (fig. 3(3)) when the display is in the second position (fig. 4, col. 4, lines 5-15); and a control device configured to offer functionality of the control switch and/or display information are displayed from the display unit (fig. 3(3)) on the display screen (fig. 1(6a)) when the display unit is not in use (col. 3, line 59 – col. 4, line 15, col. 7, lines 29-41).

Schaper teaches a control device configured to offer display information from a display unit on another display screen (figs. 2, 4, col. 2, line 49- col. 3, line 2).

Therefore, Hirano (as modified by Schaper) an apparatus with a control device that is configured to offer functionality of the control switch and/or display information from the display unit on the display screen based on the display screen being in the

second position for user's convenient. Thus Hirano (as modified by Schaper" clearly teaches the embodiment of claim 1, and similarly the embodiment of claims 9 and 10.

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AFROZA Y. CHOWDHURY whose telephone number is (571)270-1543. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 EST, 5/4/9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,482 Page 10

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AC 6/18/2009 /Bipin Shalwala/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629